

# Infinite products with strongly $B$ -multiplicative exponents

J.-P. Allouche  
CNRS, LRI, Bâtiment 490  
F-91405 Orsay Cedex (France)  
allouche@lri.fr

J. Sondow  
209 West 97th Street  
New York, NY10025 (USA)  
jsondow@alumni.princeton.edu

*To Professor Kátai on the occasion of his 70th birthday*

## Abstract

Let  $N_{1,B}(n)$  denote the number of ones in the  $B$ -ary expansion of an integer  $n$ . Woods introduced the infinite product  $P := \prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^{(-1)^{N_{1,2}(n)}}$  and Robbins proved that  $P = 1/\sqrt{2}$ . Related products were studied by several authors. We show that a trick for proving that  $P^2 = 1/2$  (knowing that  $P$  converges) can be extended to evaluating new products with (generalized) strongly  $B$ -multiplicative exponents. A simple example is

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{Bn+1}{Bn+2} \right)^{(-1)^{N_{1,B}(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}.$$

*MSC:* 11A63, 11Y60.

## 1 Introduction

In 1985 the following infinite product, for which no closed expression is known, appeared in [8, p. 193 and p. 209]:

$$R := \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{(4n+1)(4n+2)}{4n(4n+3)} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)}$$

where  $(\varepsilon(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is the  $\pm 1$  Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence, defined by

$$\varepsilon(n) = (-1)^{N_{1,2}(n)}$$

with  $N_{1,2}(n)$  being the number of ones in the binary expansion of  $n$ . (For more on the Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence, see for example [5].)

On the one hand, it is not difficult to see that  $R = \frac{3}{2Q}$ , where

$$Q := \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)}.$$

Namely, splitting the simpler product into even and odd indices and using the relations  $\varepsilon(2n) = \varepsilon(n)$  and  $\varepsilon(2n+1) = -\varepsilon(n)$ , we get

$$Q = \left( \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{4n}{4n+1} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)} \right) \left( \prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{4n+2}{4n+3} \right)^{-\varepsilon(n)} \right) = \frac{3}{2} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{4n(4n+3)}{(4n+1)(4n+2)} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)} = \frac{3}{2R}.$$

(Note that, whereas the logarithm of  $R$  is an absolutely convergent series, the logarithm of  $Q$  – and similarly the logarithm of the product  $P$  below – is a conditionally convergent series, as can be seen by partial summation, using the fact that the sums  $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \varepsilon(k)$  only take the values  $+1$ ,  $0$  and  $-1$ , hence are bounded.)

On the other hand, the product  $Q$  reminds us of the Woods-Robbins product [18, 12]

$$P := \prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

(generalized for example in [13, 1, 2, 3, 4, 14]).

In 1987 during a stay at the University of Chicago, the first author, convinced that the computation of the infinite product  $Q$  should not resist the even-odd splitting techniques he was using with J. Shallit, discovered the following trick. First write  $QP$  as

$$QP = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\varepsilon(0)} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{2n}{2n+1} \cdot \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)}.$$

Now split the indices as we did above, obtaining

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)} = \left( \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right)^{\varepsilon(n)} \right) \left( \prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^{-\varepsilon(n)} \right) = QP^{-1}.$$

This gives  $QP = \frac{1}{2}QP^{-1}$ : as the hope of computing  $Q$  fades, the trick at least yields an easy way to compute  $P = 1/\sqrt{2}$ . By extending this trick to  $B$ -ary expansions, the second author [14] found the generalization of  $P = 1/\sqrt{2}$  given in Corollary 5 of Section 4.2.

It happens that the sequence  $(\varepsilon(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is strongly 2-multiplicative (see Definition 1 in the next section). The purpose of this paper is to extend the trick to products with more general exponents. For example, we prove the following.

*Let  $B > 1$  be an integer. For  $k = 1, \dots, B-1$  define  $N_{k,B}(n)$  to be the number of occurrences of the digit  $k$  in the  $B$ -ary expansion of the integer  $n$ . Also, let*

$$s_B(n) := \sum_{0 < k < B} k N_{k,B}(n)$$

be the sum of the  $B$ -ary digits of  $n$ , and let  $q > 1$  be an integer. Then

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{(-1)^{N_{k,B}(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}},$$

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{\sin \frac{\pi k}{q} \sin \frac{\pi(2s_B(n)+k)}{q}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}},$$

and

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{\sin \frac{\pi k}{q} \cos \frac{\pi(2s_B(n)+k)}{q}} = 1.$$

Note that the use of the trick is not necessarily the only way to compute products of this type: real analysis is used for computing  $P$  in [12] and to compute products more general than  $P$  in [13]; the core of [1] is the use of Dirichlet series, while [2] deals with complex power series and the second part of [3] with real integrals. It may even happen that, in some cases, the use of the trick gives less general results than other methods. For example, in Remark 5 we show that Corollary 5 or [14] can also be obtained as an easy consequence of [2, Theorem 1].

## 2 Strongly $B$ -multiplicative sequences

We recall the classical definition of a strongly  $B$ -multiplicative sequence. (For this and for the definitions of  $B$ -multiplicative,  $B$ -additive, and strongly  $B$ -additive, see [6, 9, 7, 11, 10].)

**Definition 1.** Let  $B \geq 2$  be an integer. A sequence of complex numbers  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is *strongly  $B$ -multiplicative* if  $u(0) = 1$  and, for all  $n \geq 0$  and all  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ ,

$$u(Bn + k) = u(n)u(k).$$

**Example 1.** If  $z$  is any complex number, then the sequence  $u$  defined by  $u(0) := 1$  and  $u(n) := z^{s_B(n)}$  for  $n \geq 1$  is strongly  $B$ -multiplicative.

**Remark 1.** If we do not impose the condition  $u(0) = 1$  in Definition 1, then either  $u(0) = 1$  holds, or the sequence  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  must be identically 0. To see this, note that the relation  $u(Bn + k) = u(n)u(k)$  implies, with  $n = k = 0$ , that  $u(0) = u(0)^2$ . Hence  $u(0) = 1$  or  $u(0) = 0$ . If  $u(0) = 0$ , then taking  $n = 0$  in the relation gives  $u(k) = 0$  for all  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ , which by (1) implies  $u(n) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 1.** If the sequence  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is strongly  $B$ -multiplicative, and if the  $B$ -ary expansion of  $n \geq 1$  is  $n = \sum_j e_j(n)B^j$ , then  $u(n) = \prod_j u(e_j(n))$ . In particular, the only strongly  $B$ -multiplicative sequence with  $u(1) = u(2) = \dots = u(B-1) = \theta$ , where  $\theta = 0$  or 1, is the sequence  $1, \theta, \theta, \theta, \dots$

*Proof.* Use induction on the number of base  $B$  digits of  $n$ . ■

We now generalize the notion of a strongly  $B$ -multiplicative sequence different from  $1, 0, 0, 0, \dots$

**Definition 2.** Let  $B \geq 2$  be an integer. A sequence of complex numbers  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$  if there exist an integer  $n_0 \geq B$  and complex numbers  $v(0), v(1), \dots, v(B-1)$  such that  $u(n_0) \neq 0$  and, for all  $n \geq 1$  and all  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ ,

$$u(Bn + k) = u(n)v(k).$$

**Proposition 2.**

(1) If a sequence  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , then the values  $v(0), v(1), \dots, v(B-1)$  are uniquely determined.

(2) A sequence  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  has  $u(0) = 1$  and satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$  with  $u(Bn + k) = u(n)v(k)$  not only for  $n \geq 1$  but also for  $n = 0$ , if and only if the sequence is strongly  $B$ -multiplicative and not equal to  $1, 0, 0, 0, \dots$ . In that case,  $v(k) = u(k)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ .

*Proof.* If the sequence  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , then  $v(k) = u(Bn_0 + k)/u(n_0)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ . This implies (1).

To prove the “only if” part of (2), take  $n = 0$  in the relation  $u(Bn + k) = u(n)v(k)$ , yielding  $u(k) = u(0)v(k) = v(k)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ . Hence  $u(Bn + k) = u(n)u(k)$  for all  $n \geq 0$  and  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ . Thus  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is strongly  $B$ -multiplicative. Since  $u(n_0) \neq 0$  for some  $n_0 \geq B$ , the sequence is not  $1, 0, 0, 0, \dots$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is strongly  $B$ -multiplicative and is not  $1, 0, 0, 0, \dots$ . Then there exists an integer  $\ell_0 \geq 1$  such that  $u(\ell_0) \neq 0$ . Hence  $n_0 := B\ell_0 \geq B$  and  $u(n_0) = u(B\ell_0) = u(\ell_0)u(0) = u(\ell_0) \neq 0$ . Defining  $v(k) := u(k)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ , we see that  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , and the proposition follows. ■

**Example 2.** We construct a sequence which satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$  but is not strongly  $B$ -multiplicative. Let  $z$  be a complex number, with  $z \notin \{0, 1\}$ , and define  $u(n) := z^{N_{0,B}(n)}$ , where  $N_{0,B}(n)$  counts the number of zeros in the  $B$ -ary expansion of  $n$  for  $n > 0$ , and  $N_{0,B}(0) := 0$  (which corresponds to representing zero by the empty sum, that is, the empty word). Note that for all  $n \geq 1$  the relation  $N_{0,B}(Bn) = N_{0,B}(n) + 1$  holds, and for all  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, B-1\}$  and all  $n \geq 0$  the relation  $N_{0,B}(Bn + k) = N_{0,B}(n) = N_{0,B}(n) + N_{0,B}(k)$  holds. Hence the nonzero sequence  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , with  $v(0) := z$  and  $v(k) := 1 = u(k)$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, B-1$ . But the sequence is not strongly  $B$ -multiplicative:  $u(B \times 1 + 0) = z \neq 1 = u(1)u(0)$ .

**Remark 2.** The alternative definition  $N_{0,B}(0) := 1$  (which would correspond to representing zero by the single digit 0 instead of by the empty word) would also not lead to a strongly  $B$ -multiplicative sequence  $u$ , since then  $u(0) = z \neq 1$ , which does not agree with Definition 1 (see also Remark 1). On the other hand, the new sequence would still satisfy Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , with the same values  $v(k)$ , as the same proof shows, since  $u(0)$  does not appear in it.

### 3 Convergence of infinite products

Inspired by the Woods-Robbins product  $P$ , we want to study products given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $B > 1$  be an integer. Let  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of complex numbers with  $|u(n)| \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Suppose that it satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$  with  $|v(k)| \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ , and that  $|\sum_{0 \leq k < B} v(k)| < B$ . Then for each  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ , the infinite product*

$$\prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{u(n)}$$

*converges, where  $\delta_k$  —a special case of the Kronecker delta— is defined by*

$$\delta_k := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$F(N) := \sum_{0 \leq n < N} u(n).$$

Also define for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, B-1$

$$G(j) := \sum_{0 \leq n < j} v(n)$$

and set  $G(0) := 0$ . Then, for each  $b \in \{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ , and for every  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F(BN + b) &= \sum_{0 \leq n < BN} u(n) + \sum_{BN \leq n < BN+b} u(n) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq n < N} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < B} u(Bn + \ell) + \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} u(BN + \ell) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq \ell < B} u(\ell) + \sum_{1 \leq n < N} \sum_{0 \leq \ell < B} u(n)v(\ell) + u(N) \sum_{0 \leq \ell < b} v(\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using  $|u(N)| \leq 1$  and  $|G(b)| \leq B-1 < B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |F(BN + b)| &= |F(B) + (F(N) - u(0))G(B) + u(N)G(b)| \\ &< |F(B) - u(0)G(B)| + |F(N)||G(B)| + B. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the case  $d = 1$  of the following inequality, which holds for  $d \geq 1$  and  $e_t \in \{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ , and which is proved by induction on  $d$  using  $|F(e_t)| \leq B$ :

$$\left| F \left( \sum_{0 \leq t \leq d} e_t B^t \right) \right| < |F(B) - u(0)G(B)| \left( 1 + \sum_{1 \leq t \leq d-1} |G(B)|^t \right) + B \left( 1 + \sum_{1 \leq t \leq d} |G(B)|^t \right).$$

Hence

$$\left| F \left( \sum_{0 \leq t \leq d} e_t B^t \right) \right| < \begin{cases} B(3d+1) & \text{if } |G(B)| \leq 1, \\ 3B \frac{|G(B)|^{d+1} - 1}{|G(B)| - 1} & \text{if } |G(B)| > 1. \end{cases}$$

This implies that for some constant  $C = C(B, v)$ , and for every  $N$  large enough,

$$|F(N)| < \begin{cases} C \log N & \text{if } |G(B)| \leq 1, \\ C |G(B)|^{\frac{\log N}{\log B}} = CN^{\frac{\log |G(B)|}{\log B}} & \text{if } |G(B)| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $|G(B)| < B$  by hypothesis, we can define  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  by

$$\alpha := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } |G(B)| \leq 1, \\ \frac{\log |G(B)|}{\log B} & \text{if } |G(B)| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence for every  $N$  large enough  $|F(N)| < CN^\alpha$ . It follows, using summation by parts, that the series  $\sum_n u(n) \log \frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1}$  converges, hence the lemma.  $\blacksquare$

**Remark 3.**

(1) Here and in what follows, expressions of the form  $a^z$ , where  $a$  is a positive real number and  $z$  a complex number, are defined by  $a^z := e^{z \log a}$ , and  $\log a$  is real.

(2) For more precise estimates of summatory functions of (strongly)  $B$ -multiplicative sequences, see for example [7, 10]. (In [10] strongly  $B$ -multiplicative sequences are called completely  $B$ -multiplicative.)

## 4 Evaluation of infinite products

This section is devoted to computing some infinite products with exponents that satisfy Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , including some whose exponents are strongly  $B$ -multiplicative.

### 4.1 General results

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $B > 1$  be an integer. Let  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of complex numbers with  $|u(n)| \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Suppose that  $u$  satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , with complex numbers  $v(0), v(1), \dots, v(B-1)$  such that  $|v(k)| \leq 1$  for  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$  and  $|\sum_{0 \leq k < B} v(k)| < B$ . Then the following relation between nonempty products holds:*

$$\prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < B \\ v(k) \neq 1}} \prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1} \right)^{u(n)(1-v(k))} = \frac{1}{B^{u(0)}} \prod_{0 \leq k < B} \left( \frac{k}{k+1} \right)^{u(k)-u(0)v(k)}.$$

*Proof.* The condition  $|\sum_{0 \leq k < B} v(k)| < B$  prevents  $v$  from being identically equal to 1 on  $\{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ , so the left side of the equation is not empty. Since  $B > 1$ , so is the right.

We first show that

$$\prod_{0 \leq k < B} \prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1} \right)^{u(n)} = \frac{1}{B^{u(0)}} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^{u(n)} \quad (*)$$

(note that, by Lemma 1, all the products converge). To see this, write the left side as

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{3} \cdots \frac{B-1}{B}\right)^{u(0)} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{Bn}{Bn+1} \frac{Bn+1}{Bn+2} \cdots \frac{Bn+B-1}{Bn+B}\right)^{u(n)}$$

and use telescopic cancellation. Now, splitting the product on the right side of (\*) according to the values of  $n$  modulo  $B$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{u(n)} &= \prod_{0 \leq k < B} \prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left(\frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1}\right)^{u(Bn+k)} \\ &= \prod_{0 < k < B} \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{u(k)} \prod_{0 \leq k < B} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1}\right)^{u(n)v(k)} \\ &= \prod_{0 < k < B} \left(\frac{k}{k+1}\right)^{u(k)-u(0)v(k)} \prod_{0 \leq k < B} \prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left(\frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1}\right)^{u(n)v(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (\*) and the fact that convergent infinite products are nonzero, the theorem follows.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 3.** As in Example 2, the sequence  $u$  defined by  $u(n) = z^{N_{0,B}(n)}$ , with  $z \notin \{0, 1\}$ , satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , and  $\sum_{0 \leq k < B} v(k) = z + B - 1$ . If furthermore  $|z| \leq 1$ , then

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{Bn}{Bn+1}\right)^{(1-z)z^{N_{0,B}(n)}} = B.$$

**Corollary 1.** Fix an integer  $B > 1$ . If  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is strongly  $B$ -multiplicative, satisfies  $|u(n)| \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , and is not equal to either of the sequences  $1, 0, 0, 0, \dots$  or  $1, 1, 1, \dots$ , then

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ u(k) \neq 1}} \left(\frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1}\right)^{u(n)(1-u(k))} = \frac{1}{B}.$$

*Proof.* Using Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 part (2) it suffices to prove that  $|\sum_{0 \leq k < B} u_k| < B$ . Since  $|u_n| \leq 1$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , we have  $|\sum_{0 \leq k < B} u_k| \leq B$ . From the equality case of the triangle inequality, it thus suffices to prove that the numbers  $u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{B-1}$  are not all equal to a same complex number  $z$  with  $|z| = 1$ . If they were, then, since  $u_0 = 1$ , we would have  $u_0 = u_1 = \dots = u_{B-1} = 1$ . Hence  $(u(n))_{n \geq 0} = 1, 1, 1, \dots$  from Proposition 1, a contradiction.  $\blacksquare$

**Addendum.** Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 can be strengthened, as follows.

(1) If  $B$ ,  $u$ , and  $v$  satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 1, then

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq k < B \\ v(k) \neq 1}} (1 - v(k)) \sum_{n \geq \delta_k} u(n) \log \frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1} = -u(0) \log B + \sum_{0 < k < B} (u(k) - u(0)v(k)) \log \frac{k}{k+1}.$$

(2) If  $B$  and  $u$  satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary 1, then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ u(k) \neq 1}} u(n)(1 - u(k)) \log \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} = -\log B.$$

*Proof.* Write the proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 additively instead of multiplicatively. ■

**Remark 4.** The Addendum cannot be proved by just taking logarithms in the formulas in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1. To illustrate the problem, note that while

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} e^{\frac{(-1)^n 8i}{2n+1}} = 1$$

(because the product converges to  $e^{2\pi i}$ ), the log equation is false:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^n 8i}{2n+1} = 2\pi i \neq 0 = \log 1.$$

**Example 4.** With the same  $u$  and  $z$  as in Example 3, Addendum (1) yields

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} z^{N_{0,B}(n)} \log \frac{Bn}{Bn+1} = \frac{\log B}{z-1}.$$

Hence

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{Bn}{Bn+1} \right)^{z^{N_{0,B}(n)}} = B^{\frac{1}{z-1}}.$$

(Note the similarity between this product and the one in Example 3. Neither implies the other, but of course the preceding log equation implies both.)

If we modify the sequence  $u$  as in Remark 2, we get the same two formulas, because the value  $N_{0,B}(0)$  does not appear in them.

**Corollary 2.** Fix integers  $B, q, p$  with  $B > 1$ ,  $q > p > 0$ , and  $B \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ . Then

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{\sin \frac{\pi kp}{q} \sin \frac{\pi(2n+k)p}{q}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}$$

and

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{\sin \frac{\pi kp}{q} \cos \frac{\pi(2n+k)p}{q}} = 1.$$



*Proof.* Let  $\omega := e^{2\pi ip/q}$ . Since  $B \equiv 1 \pmod q$ , we may take  $u(n) := \omega^n$  in Addendum (2), yielding the formula

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod q}} \omega^n (1 - \omega^k) \log \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} = -\log B.$$

Writing  $\omega^n (1 - \omega^k) = -2i\omega^{n+\frac{k}{2}} \sin \frac{\pi kp}{q}$ , and multiplying the real and imaginary parts of the formula by  $1/2$ , the result follows. ■

**Example 5.** Take  $B = 5$ ,  $p = 1$ , and  $q = 4$ . Squaring the products, we get

Define  $\sigma(n)$  to be  $+1$  if  $n$  is a square modulo 4, and  $-1$  otherwise, that is,

$$\sigma(n) := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod 4, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \text{ or } 3 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{5n+1}{5n+2} \right)^{\sigma(n)} \left( \frac{5n+2}{5n+3} \right)^{\sigma(n)+\sigma(n+1)} \left( \frac{5n+3}{5n+4} \right)^{\sigma(n+1)} = \frac{1}{5}$$

and

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{5n+1}{5n+2} \right)^{\sigma(n-1)} \left( \frac{5n+2}{5n+3} \right)^{\sigma(n-1)+\sigma(n)} \left( \frac{5n+3}{5n+4} \right)^{\sigma(n)} = 1.$$

## 4.2 The sum-of-digits function $s_B(n)$

Other products can also be obtained from Corollary 1. We give three corollaries, each of which generalizes the Woods-Robbins formula  $P = 1/\sqrt{2}$  in the Introduction. Recall that  $s_B(n)$  denotes the sum of the  $B$ -ary digits of the integer  $n$ .

**Corollary 3.** Fix an integer  $B > 1$  and a complex number  $z$  with  $|z| \leq 1$ . If  $z \notin \{0, 1\}$ , then

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ z^k \neq 1}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{z^{s_B(n)}(1-z^k)} = \frac{1}{B}.$$

*Proof.* Take  $u(n) := z^{s_B(n)}$  in Corollary 1 and note that  $s_B(k) = k$  when  $0 < k < B$ . ■

**Example 6.** Take  $B = 2$  and  $z = 1/2$ . Squaring the product, we obtain

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} \right)^{(1/2)^{s_2(n)}} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

**Corollary 4.** Let  $B, p, q$  be integers with  $B > 1$  and  $q > p > 0$ . Then

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod q}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{\sin \frac{\pi kp}{q} \sin \frac{\pi(2s_B(n)+k)p}{q}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}$$

and

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{\sin \frac{\pi kp}{q} \cos \frac{\pi(2s_B(n) + k)p}{q}} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Use the proof of Corollary 2, but replace  $B \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  with  $s_B(Bn + k) = s_B(n) + k$  when  $0 \leq k < B$ , and replace  $\omega^n$  with  $\omega^{s_B(n)}$ . ■

**Example 7.** Take  $B = 2$ ,  $q = 4$ , and  $p = 1$ . Squaring the products and defining  $\sigma(n)$  as in Example 5, we get

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{2n + 1}{2n + 2} \right)^{\sigma(s_2(n))} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{2n + 1}{2n + 2} \right)^{\sigma(s_2(n) + 1)} = 1.$$

In the same spirit, we recover a result from [3, p. 369-370].

**Example 8.** Taking  $B = q = 3$  and  $p = 1$  in Corollary 4, we obtain two infinite products. Raising the second to the power  $-2/\sqrt{3}$  and multiplying by the square of the first, we get  
Define  $\theta(n)$  by

$$\theta(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3}, \\ -2 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} (3n + 1)^{\theta(s_3(n))} (3n + 2)^{\theta(s_3(n) + 1)} (3n + 3)^{\theta(s_3(n) + 2)} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

**Corollary 5** ([14]). *Let  $B > 1$  be an integer. Then*

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{(-1)^{s_B(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}.$$

*Proof.* Take  $z = -1$  in Corollary 3 (or take  $q = 2$  and  $p = 1$  in Corollary 4). ■

**Example 9.** With  $B = 2$ , since  $s_2(n) = N_{1,2}(n)$ , we recover the Woods-Robbins formula  $P = 1/\sqrt{2}$ . Taking  $B = 6$  gives

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{(6n + 1)(6n + 3)(6n + 5)}{(6n + 2)(6n + 4)(6n + 6)} \right)^{(-1)^{s_6(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}.$$

**Remark 5.** Corollary 5 can also be obtained from [2, Theorem 1], as follows. Taking  $x$  equal to  $-1$  and  $j$  equal to 0 in that theorem gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^{s_B(n)} \log \frac{n + 1}{B \lfloor n/B \rfloor + B} = -\frac{1}{2} \log B$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the integer part of  $x$ . But the series is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq k < B} (-1)^{s_B(Bm+k)} \log \frac{Bm+k+1}{Bm+B} &= \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^{s_B(m)} \sum_{0 \leq k < B} (-1)^k \log \frac{Bm+k+1}{Bm+B} \\ &= \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^{s_B(m)} \sum_{\substack{k \text{ odd} \\ 0 < k < B}} \log \frac{Bm+k}{Bm+k+1} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by looking separately at the cases  $B$  even and  $B$  odd.

### 4.3 The counting function $N_{j,B}(n)$

We can also compute some infinite products associated with counting the number of occurrences of one or several given digits in the base  $B$  expansion of an integer.

**Definition 3.** If  $B$  is an integer  $\geq 2$  and if  $j$  is in  $\{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ , let  $N_{j,B}(n)$  be the number of occurrences of the digit  $j$  in the  $B$ -ary expansion of  $n$  when  $n > 0$ , and set  $N_{j,B}(0) := 0$ .

**Corollary 6.** Let  $B, q, p$  be integers with  $B > 1$  and  $q > p > 0$ . Let  $J$  be a nonempty, proper subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ . Define  $N_{J,B}(n) := \sum_{j \in J} N_{j,B}(n)$ . Then the following equalities hold:

$$\prod_{k \in J} \prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1} \right)^{\sin \frac{\pi(2N_{J,B}(n)+1)p}{q}} = B^{-\frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{\pi p}{q}}}$$

and

$$\prod_{k \in J} \prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1} \right)^{\cos \frac{\pi(2N_{J,B}(n)+1)p}{q}} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega := e^{2\pi i p/q}$ . We denote  $u_{q,j,B}(n) := \omega^{N_{j,B}(n)}$  and  $u_{q,J,B}(n) := \prod_{j \in J} u_{q,j,B}(n) = \omega^{N_{J,B}(n)}$ . Note that, for every  $j$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, B-1\}$ , the sequence  $(u_{q,j,B}(n))_{n \geq 0}$  is strongly  $B$ -multiplicative and nonzero, hence satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ . The sequence  $(u_{q,0,B}(n))_{n \geq 0}$  also satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , as is seen by taking  $z = \omega$  in Example 2. Therefore the sequence  $(u_{q,J,B}(n))_{n \geq 0}$  satisfies Hypothesis  $\mathcal{H}_B$ , with, for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ , the value  $v(k) := \omega$  if  $k \in J$  and  $v(k) := 1$  otherwise.

Now  $|u_{q,J,B}(n)| = 1$  for  $n \geq 0$ , and  $|v(k)| = 1$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B-1$ . Furthermore,  $|\sum_{0 \leq k < B} v(k)| < B$ , since  $v$  is not constant on  $\{0, 1, \dots, B-1\}$ . Thus we may apply Addendum (1) with  $u(n) := u_{q,J,B}(n)$ , obtaining

$$(1 - \omega) \sum_{k \in J} \sum_{n \geq \delta_k} \omega^{N_{J,B}(n)} \log \frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1} = -\log B.$$

Writing  $(1 - \omega)\omega^{N_{J,B}(n)} = -2i\omega^{N_{J,B}(n)+\frac{1}{2}} \sin \frac{\pi p}{q}$ , and taking the real and imaginary parts of the summation, the result follows.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 10.** Taking  $q = 2$  and  $p = 1$  in the first formula gives

$$\prod_{k \in J} \prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{(-1)^{N_{J,B}(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}.$$

An application is an alternate proof of Corollary 5: take  $J$  to be the set of odd numbers in  $\{1, 2, \dots, B - 1\}$ ; since  $s_B(n) = \sum_{0 < k < B} k N_{k,B}(n)$ , it follows that  $(-1)^{\sum_{j \in J} N_{j,B}(n)} = (-1)^{s_B(n)}$ .

**Remark 6.** Corollary 6 requires that  $J$  be a proper subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, B - 1\}$ . Suppose instead that  $J = \{0, 1, \dots, B - 1\}$ . Then  $N_{J,B}(n)$  is the number of  $B$ -ary digits of  $n$  if  $n > 0$  (that is,  $N_{J,B}(n) = \lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log B} \rfloor + 1$ ), and  $N_{J,B}(0) = 0$ . In that case, Corollary 6 does not apply, and the products may diverge. For example, when  $B = q = 2$  and  $p = 1$  the logarithm of the first product is equal to the series

$$-\log 2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log 2} \rfloor} \log \frac{n+1}{n},$$

which does not converge. However, note its resemblance with Vacca's (convergent) series for Euler's constant [16]

$$\gamma = \sum_{n \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log 2} \right\rfloor \frac{(-1)^n}{n}.$$

**Corollary 7.** Let  $B, q, p$  be integers with  $B > 1$  and  $q > p > 0$ . Then for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, B - 1$  the following equalities hold:

$$\prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{\sin \frac{\pi(2N_{k,B}(n)+1)p}{q}} = B^{-\frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{\pi p}{q}}}$$

and

$$\prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{\cos \frac{\pi(2N_{k,B}(n)+1)p}{q}} = 1.$$

*Proof.* Take  $J := \{k\}$  in Corollary 6. (The case  $k = 0$  and  $p = 1$  is Example 4 with  $z = e^{2\pi i/q}$ .) ■

**Example 11.** Taking  $q = 2$  and  $p = 1$  in the first formula (or taking  $J = \{k\}$  in Example 10) yields

$$\prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{(-1)^{N_{k,B}(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}}.$$

In particular, if  $B = 2$  the choice  $k = 1$  gives the Woods-Robbins formula  $P = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , and  $k = 0$  gives

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{2n}{2n + 1} \right)^{(-1)^{N_{0,2}(n)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

**Remark 7.** For base  $B = 2$ , the formulas in Example 11 are special cases of results in [4], where  $N_{j,2}(n)$  is generalized to counting the number of occurrences of a given *word* in the binary expansion of  $n$ . On the other hand, the value of the product  $Q$  in the Introduction,

$$Q = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{2n}{2n+1} \right)^{(-1)^{N_{1,2}(n)}},$$

remains a mystery.

**Example 12.** Take  $B = q = 3$  and  $p = 1$ . Raising the first product to the power  $2/\sqrt{3}$  and squaring the second, we obtain

Define  $\eta(n)$  by

$$\eta(n) := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

and define  $\theta(n)$  as in Example 8. Then for  $k = 0, 1$ , and 2

$$\prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{3n+k}{3n+k+1} \right)^{\eta(N_{k,3}(n))} = \frac{1}{3^{2/3}} \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_{n \geq \delta_k} \left( \frac{3n+k}{3n+k+1} \right)^{\theta(N_{k,3}(n)+1)} = 1.$$

#### 4.4 The Gamma function

It can happen that the exponent in some of our products is a periodic function of  $n$ . For example, this is obviously the case in Corollary 2. To take another example, it is not hard to see that if  $B$  odd, then  $(-1)^{s_B(n)} = (-1)^n$ . Hence Corollary 5 gives

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left( \frac{Bn+k}{Bn+k+1} \right)^{(-1)^n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \quad (B \text{ odd}). \quad (**)$$

(This formula can also be obtained from Corollary 2 with  $q = 2$  and  $p = 1$ .) For instance

$$P_{1,3} := \prod_{n \geq 0} \left( \frac{3n+1}{3n+2} \right)^{(-1)^n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

The product  $P_{1,3}$  can also be computed using the following corollary of the Weierstrass product for the Gamma function [17, Section 12.13].

If  $d$  is a positive integer and  $a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_d = b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_d$ , where the  $a_j$  and  $b_j$  are complex numbers and no  $b_j$  is zero or a negative integer, then

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \frac{(n+a_1) \cdots (n+a_d)}{(n+b_1) \cdots (n+b_d)} = \frac{\Gamma(b_1) \cdots \Gamma(b_d)}{\Gamma(a_1) \cdots \Gamma(a_d)}.$$

Combining this with the relation  $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \pi/\sin \pi x$  gives  $P_{1,3} = 1/\sqrt{3}$ .

The computation can be generalized, using Gauss' multiplication theorem for the Gamma function, to give another proof of Corollary 5 for  $B$  odd. Likewise, an analog of the odd- $B$  case of Corollary 5 can be proved for even  $k$ :

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \prod_{\substack{0 \leq k < B \\ k \text{ even}}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{(-1)^n} = \frac{\pi \sqrt{B}}{2^B} \binom{B-1}{(B-1)/2} \quad (B \text{ odd}).$$

Multiplying this by the formula

$$\prod_{n \geq 1} \prod_{\substack{0 < k < B \\ k \text{ odd}}} \left( \frac{Bn + k}{Bn + k + 1} \right)^{(-1)^n} = \frac{2^{B-1}}{\sqrt{B}} \binom{B-1}{(B-1)/2}^{-1} \quad (B \text{ odd}),$$

which is (\*\*) rewritten, yields Wallis' product for  $\pi$ . (For an evaluation of the preceding two products when  $B = 2$ , see [15, Example 7].)

## References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, H. Cohen, Dirichlet series and curious infinite products, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **17** (1985) 531–538
- [2] J.-P. Allouche, H. Cohen, M. Mendès France, J. Shallit, De nouveaux curieux produits infinis, *Acta Arith.* **49** (1987) 141–153.
- [3] J.-P. Allouche, M. Mendès France, J. Peyrière, Automatic Dirichlet series, *J. Number Theory* **81** (2000) 359–373.
- [4] J.-P. Allouche, J. O. Shallit, Infinite products associated with counting blocks in binary strings, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **39** (1989) 193–204.
- [5] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit, The ubiquitous Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence, in *Sequences and their Applications*, Proceedings of SETA'98, C. Ding, T. Helleseth and H. Niederreiter (Eds.), 1999, Springer, pp. 1–16.
- [6] R. Bellman, H. N. Shapiro, On a problem in additive number theory, *Ann. Math.* **49** (1948) 333–340.
- [7] H. Delange, Sur les fonctions  $q$ -additives ou  $q$ -multiplicatives, *Acta Arith.* **21** (1972) 285–298.
- [8] P. Flajolet, G. N. Martin, Probabilistic counting algorithms for data base applications, *J. Comput. Sys. Sci.* **31** (1985) 182–209.
- [9] A. O. Gel'fond, Sur les nombres qui ont des propriétés additives et multiplicatives données, *Acta Arith.* **13** (1968) 259–265.
- [10] P. Grabner, Completely  $q$ -multiplicative functions: the Mellin transform approach, *Acta Arith.* **65** (1993) 85–96.

- [11] M. Mendès France, Les suites à spectre vide et la répartition modulo 1, *J. Number Theory* **5** (1973) 1–15.
- [12] D. Robbins, Solution to problem E 2692, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **86** (1979) 394–395.
- [13] J. O. Shallit, On infinite products associated with sums of digits, *J. Number Theory* **21** (1985) 128–134.
- [14] J. Sondow, Problem 11222, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **113** (2006) 459.
- [15] J. Sondow, P. Hadjicostas, The generalized-Euler-constant function  $\gamma(z)$  and a generalization of Somos’s quadratic recurrence constant, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **332** (2007) 292–314.
- [16] G. Vacca, A new series for the Eulerian constant  $\gamma = .577\dots$ , *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **41** (1910) 363–364.
- [17] E. T. Whittaker, G. N. Watson, *A Course of Modern Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1978.
- [18] D. R. Woods, Problem E 2692, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **85** (1978) 48.